

RatingsDirect®

Research Update:

Russia-Based Uralkali Downgraded To 'BB-' On Continued Share Buybacks And Financial Policy; Outlook Stable

Primary Credit Analyst:

Andrey Nikolaev, CFA, Paris (33) 1-4420-7329; andrey.nikolaev@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Alexander Griaznov, Moscow (7) 495-783-4109; alexander.griaznov@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

Russia-Based Uralkali Downgraded To 'BB-' On Continued Share Buybacks And Financial Policy; Outlook Stable

Overview

- Uralkali OJSC has announced a new share buyback of up to 6.5% of its share capital that will increase its debt.
- This follows previous share buybacks earlier this year costing a total of \$3.4 billion, and will increase the company's leverage beyond our previous expectations.
- We also see a heightened risk of further debt increases related to shareholder distributions or potential shareholder changes.
- We are consequently lowering our global scale rating on Uralkali to 'BB-' from 'BB' and the Russia national scale rating to 'ruAA-' from 'ruAA'.
- The stable outlook reflects our expectation that Uralkali will continue to generate strong free operating cash flow that should partly offset high shareholder distributions and maintain funds from operations to debt above 20%.

Rating Action

On Dec. 9, 2015, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services lowered its long-term corporate credit rating on Russian potash fertilizer producer Uralkali OJSC to 'BB-' from 'BB'. The outlook is stable.

We also lowered the Russia national scale rating on Uralkali to 'ruAA-' from 'ruAA'.

Rationale

The downgrade reflects our view that Uralkali's continued significant share buybacks will lead to leverage above our previous expectations. It also takes into account our assessment of the company's aggressive and difficult-to-predict financial policy. A secondary factor is our expectation that potash prices will decline in 2016 on the back of increasing supply in the global market.

Uralkali announced on Nov. 23, 2015, that it will buy back shares of up to 6.5% of the company's share capital. The price of shares has not been determined in advance but, assuming the same pricing as the company's recent tender offer, the total amount could be about \$0.6 billion. We think it likely that the company will ultimately buy out all of the 13.9% remaining free

float, which is worth \$1.3 billion assuming the same price as during the last tender offer. This buyback comes on top of the buybacks it executed in May and in September this year of a total \$3.4 billion.

In addition, we cannot exclude further shareholder changes that could lead to a further increase in leverage after the company becomes private. However, neither the company nor its largest shareholders have announced such intentions. Our "negative" financial policy assessment therefore captures these event risks.

We now expect Uralkali's leverage will continue to increase. We factor in that the ratio of adjusted funds from operations (FFO) to debt will be about 25% in 2015. For 2016 we expect it will be between 20% and 25% depending on the amount Uralkali spends buying back shares. We are therefore lowering our assessment of the company's financial risk profile to significant.

We continue to view Uralkali's operating risk as above-average for the industry, even if the decommissioning of the Solikamsk-2 mine appears to be on track. We do not believe that last year's flooding at Solikamsk-2 will affect operations at the neighboring Solikamsk-1 mine. We also note healthy operating performance in 2015, with volumes declining by just 5% in the first nine months of 2015, which is less than we previously anticipated. Furthermore, we believe that Uralkali's business risk profile remains supported by the company's position among the four largest potash producers. These top four players together control more than 60% of global capacity. We also think that Uralkali's business risk profile is underpinned by its unique cost position, which facilitates very high EBITDA margins, even in the current midcycle environment.

Our base-case scenario for 2015-2016 incorporates:

- A potash cost and freight price of about \$310 per metric ton (/mt) in 2015 and \$280-\$290/mt in 2016, against \$307/mt in 2014 and \$350/mt in 2013.
- Production volumes of about 11 million tons in 2015 and slightly higher in 2016, as the company ramps up production and begins debottlenecking at other mines.
- An exchange rate of about Russian ruble (RUB) 61 to the U.S. dollar in 2015 and RUB65 to the dollar in 2016.
- We don't include in our base-case scenario further share buybacks apart from the \$3.4 billion already completed in 2015 because the timing and amount are uncertain. However, we reflect our view of this risk in our financial policy assessment.

Based on these assumptions, and without factoring in further shareholder distributions, we anticipate:

- EBITDA of \$1.7 billion-\$1.9 billion in 2015 and \$1.5 billion-\$1.8 billion to 2016.
- A Standard & Poor's-adjusted ratio of FFO to debt of about 25% in 2015 and 2016. This could decline to 20% in 2016 depending on the amount of share buyback.

• A reported ratio of net debt to EBITDA slightly below 3x in 2015 and 2016.

Liquidity

We view Uralkali's liquidity as adequate, based on the company's material cash balances, committed lines, and positive free operating cash flow (FOCF). The ratio of sources to uses of liquidity remains comfortably above 1.2x. We also expect strong support from Russian banks given Uralkali's status within the country, which softens the effect of the currently constrained access to international markets for Russian issuers.

Uralkali is subject to semiannual maintenance covenants under several of its bank loan agreements. We consider that headroom will be adequate. In particular we forecast net debt to EBITDA of below 3x under our base-case scenario, well below the 4.0x and 4.5x limits under various credit agreements.

We estimate the following liquidity sources:

- Cash and short-term investments of \$1.3 billion as of Sept. 30, 2015, largely kept in hard currencies in Russia's largest banks. This excludes about \$0.1 billion, which we believe is not immediately available for debt repayment.
- Committed bank lines of \$1.7 billion, notably the \$1.5 billion Sberbank facility due in 2020.
- FFO of about \$1.1 billion-\$1.4 billion.

We estimate the following liquidity uses:

- Short-term debt of about \$1 billion as of Sept. 30, 2015 (\$1.6 billion in the following year).
- Capital expenditures of about \$0.4 billion.

Additional liquidity uses could result from a share buyback. However, this amount is uncertain and the company doesn't have an obligation to buy back shares.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that Uralkali will continue to generate strong FOCF that should partly offset high shareholder distributions and enable the company to maintain an FFO-to-debt ratio above 20% and refinance its meaningful debt maturities over 2016-2018. We believe that share buybacks of about \$1 billion (including the announced buyback of 6.5% of shares) can be accommodated within the current rating level.

We may lower the rating if a material weakening of the potash market or management's financial policy decisions cause FFO to debt to drop below 20% without near-term expectations of recovery. This could happen, for example, in the case of a leveraged buyout or a material acquisition. Leverage may also increase if the potash price declined well below \$280-\$290 CFR China and this is not countered by further material ruble devaluation.

We may ultimately raise the rating if Uralkali's financial policy becomes more predictable and the company's ratio of FFO to debt is consistently above 25%. However, we consider this scenario remote over the next 12 months.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Corporate Credit Rating: BB-/Stable/--

Business risk: FairCountry risk: High

Industry risk: Moderately highCompetitive position: Strong

Financial risk: Significant

• Cash flow/Leverage: Significant

Anchor: bb

Modifiers

- Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)
- Financial policy: Negative (-1 notch)
- Management and governance: Fair (no impact)
- Comparable ratings analysis: Neutral (no impact)

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- Key Credit Factors For The Commodity Chemicals Industry, Dec. 31, 2013
- Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- Standard & Poor's National And Regional Scale Mapping Tables, Sept. 30, 2014

Ratings List

Downgraded

Uralkali OJSC

Corporate Credit Rating

Russia National Scale

BB-/Stable/-
ruAA-/--/-
ruAA/--/--

To

From

Additional Contact:

Industrial Ratings Europe; Corporate_Admin_London@standardandpoors.com

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following Standard & Poor's numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2015 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.