



Uralkali—Leader to Capture Growth

2007 Results and 2008 Overview
April 2008

Disclaimer



This presentation includes "forward-looking statements," which include all statements other than statements of historical facts, including, without limitation, any statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words "targets," "believes," "expects," "aims," "intends," "will," "may," "anticipates," "would," "plans," "could" or similar expressions or the negative thereof. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors beyond the Company's control that could cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements are based on numerous assumptions regarding the Company's present and future business strategies and the environment in which the Company will operate in the future. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that may or may not occur in the future. Accordingly, any reliance you place on such forward-looking statements will be at your sole risk. These forward-looking statements speak only as at the date as of which they are made, and neither the Company nor any of its respective agents, employees or advisors intends or has any duty or obligation to supplement, amend, update or revise any of the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in the Company's expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statements are based.

Investment Highlights




- Largest publicly traded pure-play potash producer
- One of the fastest-growing companies in the potash industry
- Attractive potash industry fundamentals
- Ability to add significant capacity on the cheapest basis vs. global peers
- Leading trading platform in a disciplined and concentrated market
- Unrivalled access to the fastest growing BRIC markets
- Industry-leading sustainable financial performance

Uralkali - Leading Pure-Play Potash Producer



Net Sales Breakdown by Product¹ (2007)

(US\$mm)



Source: Relevant company reports, broker reports

Notes:

- 1 Converted to US dollars at the following exchange rates: USD/EUR of 0.731, USD/NOK of 5.86 and USD/CNY of 7.61, USD/JOD of 0.713
- 2 Nitrogen sales represent figures from Fertiva and COMPO segments. Adjusted sales (sales net of freight)
- 3 Potash sales represent figures from the Wholesale segment. Adjusted sales (sales net of freight)
- 4 Nitrogen sales represent figures from the Upstream and Downstream segments
- 5 Uralkali audited 2007 IFRS results
- 6 Silvinit 2007E forecasts based on ING report (29 February 2008)
- 7 2006A net sales, 2007 financials not available

Potash is unique



- Essential nutrient for plant growth
- No known substitutes
- Most attractive characteristics of the three fertilizer sectors
- Robust and steadily growing demand
- Good visibility of supply and high barriers to entry
- Favourable supply/demand balance and outlook
- Two major export associations ensure stable pricing environment

Potash: Growth, Visibility, Stability



	Potash (K)	Phosphate (P)	Nitrogen (N)
Market size ¹ (2007)	29.0 Mt (K ₂ O ²)	40.5 Mt (P ₂ O ₅)	100.8 Mt (N)
Geographic availability	Very limited	Limited	Readily available
Industry concentration	6 top players account for >70% of the industry	6 top players account for 39% of the industry	6 top players account for 25% of the industry
Pricing stability	High	Medium	Low
Profitability	High	Low/medium	Low/medium
Barriers to entry	High	Medium	Low
Cost of greenfield capacity	US\$2.5bn for 2 Mt (KCl)	US\$1.5bn for 1 Mt (P ₂ O ₅)	US\$1bn for 1 Mt (NH ₃)
Greenfield development time	min 7 years	~ 3-4 years	~ 3 years

Potash displays the most attractive characteristics of the three fertilizer sectors

Source: Fertecon, Uralkali, PotashCorp, IFA

Note:


1 All references to tonnes (t) throughout this presentation refer to metric tonnes. Any reference to US short tons is referred to as "ton"

2 1t K₂O(nutrient) is equal to 1.67t KCl(product)

Concentrated Resources - High Barriers to Entry



Proven Resources of Potash (25,508Mt) are Largely Concentrated in Canada and Russia¹




Source: ERCOSPLAN, IFA, FERTCON, CRU, USG, Canadian.GS, 2008

Notes:


- 1 Other countries, not represented on the map, account for less than 2.0% of total resources
- 2 PotashCorp's New Brunswick mine (1.3Mt capacity) has depths of 400–700m

Limited access to resources, few high quality ore deposits

Strong Industry Fundamentals



Demand / Supply Imbalance Favours Uralkali




Clear mismatch between incremental demand and supply

Source: Company reports, BPC, Fertecon, IFA

New Era of Price Growth




Evolution of Potash Prices



Source: Fertecon (March 2008)

Price¹ Performance




Source: Uralkali

Notes:

- 1 Price is calculated as annual revenue divided by tonnage sold
- 2 Price for 2008 is calculated on the basis of the prices discussed on the right graph
- 3 Price for 2009 is calculated on the basis of the End of 2008 prices (without increase in 2009)
- 4 Selling expenses – selling and marketing costs in accordance with audited IFRS financial statement - for 2005-2007; for 2008 and 2009 expenses are forecasted on the level of US\$ 75 and US\$ 80 per ton of production accordingly

2008 Price Development (CFR US\$/t KCI)



Source: Uralkali

Notes:

- 1 Price at the end of 2008 for SEA, Brazil, Europe is set equal to the price announced by Canpotex for June shipments in Latin America and Brazil
- 2 China rail and China sea (CFR) at the end of 2008 is set equal to the Indian contract settled on March 20, 2008
- 3 Russian price at the end of 2008 is calculated according to the formula set in 2008 contract (FOB Chinese price adjusted for the railway tariff from the mine to St.Petersburg and transshipment)
- 4 Term contracts account for about 40% of sales and are renegotiated once a year, typically in the spring-summer with the Indian buyers and in the winter-spring with the Chinese
- 5 Chinese contracts are typically calculated on FOB basis, for the purpose of the graph FOB price is adjusted on the average spot freight rate for the region


BPC – Leader in the Potash Export Market



Facts


- #1 in export potash trade¹
- Geographic coverage of over 60 countries
- Sales offices in 6 countries

Major Potash Players by Export Trading² (2007)



Source: Fertecon, Uralkali

Global Potash Industry



Source: IFA, Uralkali

Notes:

- 1 Together with Uralkali Trading (UKT)
- 2 Excludes domestic sales and deliveries between the US and Canada
- 3 Calculated as the total export volume deliveries from Belaruskali and Uralkali (including railway deliveries to China)

Uralkali Sales Portfolio - from Contract to Spot

Markets	2007	2008	
SEA	11%	17%	▲
India	7%	12%	▲
Europe	8%	12%	▲
USA	0%	4%	▲
Brazil	21%	21%	
Russia	10%	9%	
China DAF	25%	19%	▼
China FOB	15%	4%	▼
Other	2%	3%	
	100%	100%	

Source: Uralkali

Uralkali – Snapshot of the Leader

Entire Value Chain - from Reserve Base to End Customer

PRODUCTION

Existing Assets - 2 MINES, 6 PLANTS



- 1 Plant
- Products: WMOP




- 2 Mine and Plant
- Resources: 379 Mt of ore³
- Products: GMOP, PMOP

Ore transportation between mines




- 3 Plant
- Products: GMOP, PMOP



- 4 Mine and Plant
- Resources: 2 352 Mt of ore³
- Products: WMOP

New Licence – Mine 5



- 5 Resources: 1,300 Mt of ore²
- Grade - 30%
- 35 years of reserves

PRE-FESIBILITY STUDY RESULTS:

- Production volume planned – 3,7 mln t of KCl
- First product – 2013
- Full ramp-up – 2015
- CAPEX - \$800 per ton of production, including:
 - New mine
 - New plant at RU-4 of 2,2 mln t
 - New plant at RU-3 of 1,5 mln t
 - No additional infrastructure required
- Cost efficiency of ~\$17 mln per annum due to the elimination of ore transportation between mines

TRADING

Uralkali



- Domestic sales
- >4,300 special mineral railcars
- 160kt warehouses

Baltic Bulk Terminal



- Shortest transp. leg (from UK mines to St. Petersburg)
- Capacity: 6.2 Mt
- 240 kt warehouses

Belarussian Potash Company¹ Uralkali Trading




- Leading export platform with 33% share

Source: Uralkali

Note:


- 1 BPC is 50%/50% joint venture potash trading platform between Uralkali and Belaruskali
- 2 From Russian mining license feasibility report prepared under Russian classification standards
- 3 JORC as of January 2007

Capacity Additions Programme



Source: Uralkali

Superior Top Line Growth and Profitability



Potash pure play and geographic position provides global leading financial performance

Source: Relevant company reports, Uralkali audited IFRS financial statements
 Notes:
 1 Based on adjusted sales (sales net of freight, railway tariff and transshipment costs)
 2 Uralkali 2007 IFRS consolidated financial statements
 3 Silvinit 2007E forecasts based on ING report (29 February 2008)

2007 – Strong Recovery




Key Highlights

	2005	2006	2007	Change % to 2006
Production (Mt)	5.4	4.2	5.1	21%
RURm				
Net Sales ¹	20,489	16,673	22,673	36%
EBITDA Margin ²	13,585 66%	6,526 39%	12,420 55%	90% 16%
Mine flooding costs (net of depreciation charge)	-	2,032	(322)	
Adj. EBITDA ³ Adj. Margin ⁴	13,585 66%	8,558 51%	12,098 53%	41% 2%
Net Profit	9,429	3,494	8,045	130%
Operating Cash Flow	9,464	6,626	8,194	24%
Capex	5,728	5,198	6,316	22%
Net Debt	(999)	5,106	3,310	-35%

Key Considerations

- Production volume increased in 2007 by 21%
- Net Sales increased in 2007 by 36%
- Adj. EBITDA³ increased in 2007 by 42%.
- EBITDA (12,420 mRUR, 486 m US\$) is in line with analysts' consensus of US\$ 482 mln.

Adj. EBITDA⁴ Margin Evolution



Source:Uralkali

Notes:

1 Based on adjusted sales (sales net of freight, railway tariff and transshipment costs)

2. EBITDA Margin is calculated as EBITDA divided by Net Sales.


3. Adjusted EBITDA does not include mine flooding costs.

4. Adjusted EBITDA Margin is calculated as Adj. EBITDA divided by Net Sales.

EBITDA Evolution



Adj. EBITDA¹ Evolution



Source:Uralkali

Notes:


1.Adj. EBITDA does not include mine flooding costs.

2.Adj. EBITDA Margin is calculated as Adj. EBITDA divided by Net Sales.


Revenue Analysis



Sales volumes




Price⁵ increase




Notes:

1. Average CFR price
2. Average DAF price
3. Average FCA price
4. Average FOB price grossed up for average freight rates in the region
5. All prices are given on the gross basis

Distribution costs




Effective Freight tariff




Notes:
2. Effective freight rates are calculated as freight cost divided by freight volumes

Freight costs structure



Notes:
1. Share of long term contracts is calculated in money terms. These contracts were concluded in 2006 and expire partly in mid. 2008, partly in 2009.

Railway costs³



Notes:
3. Effective railway tariff includes both loaded and empty railcars fares


Cost Leadership



COGS


- Cash COGS¹ in 2007 – 1,128 RUR per/ton (\$44 per ton)
- Cash GOGS¹ is one of the lowest in industry, mainly due
 - Low wages
 - Cheap energy prices
- Advantage is sustainable in the future

COGS as % of Net Sales (2007)




Source: Relevant company reports, Uralkali audited IFRS financial statements

Cash COGS¹ (2007)



Variable and Fixed Cash COGS¹ (2007)



Notes:

1 Cost of goods sold less depreciation and amortisation and changes in accrued provisions


2 Uralkali 2007 IFRS consolidated financial statements

3 Silvinit 2007E forecasts based on ING report (29 February 2008)


Cost Cutting Programme – Labour Costs




Labour Costs (2007)



Salary Cost per Employee per Month



Headcount Reduction (period average)



■ Main production Unit ■ Uralkali Group consolidated

Source: Uralkali

Notes:

1 Total Main production Unit employees, UST excluded.

2 Canadian Companies (Potash Corp.2006) – total potash segment payroll costs divided by total active potash segment employees. Payroll tax of 9.67% excluded, converted to RUR at a US\$/RUR exchange rate of 25.57

3 Decrease in headcount of Main production unit in 2007 in comparison with 2006


Significant Headcount Reduction

- Salary lined up with regional level – 30% increase up to 20,200 RUR (790 USD)
- Partly offset by headcount reduction by 1,200 employees
- Two times productivity increase planned
 - target - 6,000 employees in main production unit in 2010


Cost Cutting Programme – Fuel and Energy



Energy Tariffs 2007, Uralkali vs Europe¹



Energy Consumption Volumes




Power Generation Programme



- Stage 1: launched in 1Q 2008 (2 turbines, 25 MWt in total),
- Stage 2: from 2009 up to (+2 turbines, 25 MWt in total)
- Capex approx. \$2,000/KW
- Estimated cost saving³ – \$2/tonne

Fuel and Energy Breakdown (2007)



Source: Uralkali, Gazprom

Notes:

1 Effective Electricity and Gas Tariff, Converted to RUR at a US\$/RUR exchange rate of 25.57


2 Average natural gas and electricity prices charged to final industrial consumers as for 2007 year in UK, Germany and Spain per www.epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu

3 Estimated energy cost savings per tonne in 2011 based on assumption of 25% annual gas price increase, 16% annual electricity price increase from average 2006 prices to average 2011 prices

Capex to Drive Future Growth




Capex Evolution




Source: Uralkali

Brownfield Capex / Mt – Lowest within the Industry



Source: Uralkali, UBS estimates

Expansion CAPEX Breakdown, 2007




Source: Uralkali 2007 IFRS consolidated financial statements

Notes:

1. Dividends for 2007 will be declared on General annual meeting of shareholders, scheduled for June 2008

Maintenance CAPEX Breakdown, 2007




Cash Flow



Net debt


- At the end of 2007 net debt – 135 mUS\$
- Company is under leveraged
- Company chooses not to store cash on balance sheet
- Company prefers to pay dividends if there is no M&A opportunities
- WACC 10%

Net Debt / EBITDA¹ ratio




Source: Uralkali

Operating Cash Flow vs. Capex



Dividend Payout Ratio²



Source: Uralkali financial information prepared in accordance with IFRS (audited figures for 2003-2007)

Notes:

1. Net D/EBITDA is calculated as (Bank Debt - Cash) / Equity

2. Dividends for 2007 will be declared on General annual meeting of shareholders, scheduled for June 2008

Take-aways...



Sales

- Brownfield expansion from 5.3 in 2008 to 7.0 Mt in 2010
- Greenfield - increase up to 11mt with Mine-5 development
- Running close to full capacity due to incremental demand/supply mismatch of 1.2Mt
- Directing bigger volumes to spot market – greater exposure to rising prices
- Focus on elimination of “Chinese discount” and bringing contract prices closer to spot

Costs & Margins

- Sustainable EBITDA margin driven by price increases
- 60%/40% fixed/variable cash cost structure favourable for future growth

Capex

- Brownfield capacity additions US\$160/tonne
- Greenfield capacity additions US\$800/tonne
- Maintenance capex equal to depreciation

Effective Tax Rate

- Estimated tax rate of approximately 20%
- Export duty of 5% from Export Sales

Dividend Policy

- IFRS-based dividend payout ratio of at least 15%
- Dividend capacity dependent on future cash generation, M&A opportunities and capex
- Historical payout – 47%, 64%, 96% in 2004, 2005, 2006 accordingly

Source: Uralkali
Notes:

1 Basis for export duty is FOB/DAF price excluding loaded railcar tariff to the border